## Workshop 2

Wednesday, January 17th, 2021

### 1 Independence

**Definition 1** (Independence). Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space.

• Sub- $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2, \cdots$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  are called independent if for every finite set  $I = \{i_1, ..., i_n\}$  of distinct indexes and every  $G_i \in \mathcal{G}_i, i \in I$ ,

$$P(\bigcap_{k=1}^{n} G_{i_k}) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} P(G_{i_k})$$

- Events  $E_1, E_2, \cdots$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  are called independent if the sub- $\sigma$ -algebras  $\sigma(E_1), \sigma(E_2), \ldots$  are independent.
- Random variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are independent if the sub- $\sigma$ -algebras  $\sigma(X_1), \sigma(X_2), \dots$  are independent.

**Exercise 1** (A way of verifying independence, cf. Williams 4.2). Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space.

1. Suppose  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  are sub- $\sigma$ -algebras of  $\mathcal{F}$  and that  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  are  $\pi$ -systems such that  $\mathcal{G} = \sigma(\mathcal{I})$  and  $\mathcal{H} = \sigma(\mathcal{J})$ . Prove that  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  are independent if and only if

$$\forall I \in \mathcal{I}, \, \forall J \in \mathcal{J}, \quad P(I \cap J) = P(I)P(J). \tag{1}$$

(Hint: First fix J and think about the functions  $P_1(I) = P(I \cap J)$  and  $P_2(I) = P(I)P(J)$ on  $\mathcal{I}$ .)

2. Deduce that (real-valued) random variables X and Y are independent if and only if

$$\forall (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad P(X \le x, Y \le y) = P(X \le x)P(Y \le y). \tag{2}$$

# 2 Second Borel-Cantelli lemma

**Exercise 2** (The lemma). Suppose that the events  $(E_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  are independent. Prove that if  $\sum_n P(E_n) = \infty$  then  $P(\limsup_n E_n) = 1$ . (Hint: You'll have to use that  $\prod_{m < n < r} (1 - p_n) \le \exp(-\sum_{n=m}^r p_n)$  whenever  $0 \le p_n \le 1$ .)

(finit. Four in have to use that  $\prod_{m \le n \le r} (1 - p_n) \le \exp(-\sum_{n = m} p_n)$  whenever  $0 \le p_n \le 1$ .) Exercise 2 (An application of Williams E4.4). Suppose that a coin with probability n of he

**Exercise 3** (An application, cf. Williams E4.4). Suppose that a coin with probability p of heads is tossed repeatedly. Let  $A_k$  be the event that a sequence of k (or more) consecutive heads occurs amongst tosses numbered  $2^k$ ,  $2^k + 1$ ,  $2^k + 2$ , ...,  $2^{k+1} - 1$ . Prove that

$$P(A_k, \text{ i.o.}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \ge 1/2 \\ 0 & \text{if } p < 1/2 \end{cases}.$$
(3)

## 3 Tail $\sigma$ -algebra

Let  $X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots$  be a sequence of random variables. Define

$$\mathcal{T}_n = \sigma(X_{n+1}, X_{n+2}, \dots), \quad \mathcal{T} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{T}_n.$$
(4)

The resulting collection of sets  $\mathcal{T}$  is called the **tail**  $\sigma$ -algebra of the sequence  $(X_n)_{n>1}$ .

**Exercise 4.** Prove that  $\mathcal{T}$  contains the event " $\sum_{n>1} X_n$  converges".

**Exercise 5** (Kolmogorov's 0-1 law, cf. Williams 4.11). Suppose that the variables  $(X_n)_{n\geq 1}$  are independent.

- 1. Prove that  $\mathcal{T}$  is independent from  $\mathcal{T}$ . (Hint: Prove first that  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_1, ..., X_n)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_n = \sigma(X_{n+1}, ...)$  are independent. Take  $n \to \infty$ .)
- 2. Deduce that for any  $F \in \mathcal{T}$ , P(F) equals 0 or 1.
- 3. Prove that if  $h: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  is measurable, then there exists  $c \in [-\infty, \infty]$  such that P(h = c) = 1.

### 4 Some suggestions for independent work

- Exercise E4.1 in Williams' book is an extension of Exercise 1 here to three  $\sigma$ -algebras.
- If you're interested in number theory, consider solving exercise E4.2 in William's book.
- Exercises E4.4-E4.8 in that same book are good practice for the Borel-Cantelli lemmas.